Case 4:13-cr-00100-A Document 379 | File 00 0 29/16 Page 1 of 33 Page ID 1631

United States District Court 5th District Texas

Name (under which you were convicted): S. Navarro Docket or Case No.:4:13-CR-100-A

v.

Place of Confinement: FMCC UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Prisoner No.: 46060-177

Movant (include name under which convicted)

Sydney Melissa Navarro

	MOTION
	2255
1.	(a) Name and location of court that entered the judgment of conviction you are challenging: <u>U.S. District Court for Northern Texas</u> <u>501 W. 10th St.</u> , Room 310 <u>Fort Worth, Texas</u> 76102 (b) Criminal docket or case number (if you know): <u>4:13-CR-100-A</u>
2.	(a) Date of the judgment of conviction (if you know): <u>Unknown</u> (b) Date of sentencing: <u>12/23/2013</u>
3.	Length of sentence: 324 Months (Amend. 782 given to reduce to 275 Months
4.	Nature of crime (all counts): 21 IL.S.C. 846 U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FILED SEP 2 9 2016
5.	(a) What was your plea? (Check one) CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT By Deputy
(1) I (b)	Not guilty χ (2) Guilty (3) Nolo contendere (no contest) If you entered a guilty plea to one count or indictment, and a not guilty plea to another count or
410	cr 1
~ ~~	40.14.111. 10. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

^{© 2016} Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

inc 	dictment, what did you plead guilty to and what did you plead not guilty to? All the foresaid
6.	If you went to trial, what kind of trial did you have? (Check one) Jury Judge only
7.	Not Applicable . Did you testify at a pretrial hearing, trial, or post-trial hearing? Yes No χ
8.	Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction? XYes No
9.	If you did appeal, answer the following: (a) Name of court:
	"Yes," answer the following:
) Docket or case number (if you know):N/A) Result:N/A
41	0er 2

^{© 2016} Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

(4) Citation to the case (if ye	ou know): <u>N/A</u>		
(5) Grounds raised:	N/A		
			•
		2	
Other than the direct or applications cond	appeals listed above, ha erning this judgment of c	ve you previously filed onviction in any court?	any other motions, p
•		•	

^{© 2016} Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

11.	If your answer to Question 10 was "Yes," give the following information: (a)(1) Name of court: Same as listed for conviction
(2)	Docket or case number (if you know): 4:16-6V-839-A
(3)	Date of filing (if you know): 8/2016
(4)	Nature of the proceeding: Motion 2255
(5)	Grounds raised: <u>Johnson v U.S.</u>

(6)	Did you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your motion, petition, or application?
Υe	es No X
41	0cr 4

^{© 2016} Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

	(7) Result: <u>Denied</u>
	(8) Date of result (if you know): 9/8/2016 (b) If you filed any second motion, petition, or application, give the same information:
eann, man ann an	(1) Name of court: N/A
	(2) Docket or case number (if you know): N/A
	(3) Date of filing (if you know): N/A
	(4) Nature of the proceeding: N/A
	(5) Grounds raised: N/A
	410cr 5

^{© 2016} Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

	·
(6) Di	d you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your motion, petition, or application
Yes	No X
(7) Re	esult: N/A
(c) D	Ite of result (if you know): N/A d you appeal to a federal appellate court having jurisdiction over the action taken on your n, or application?
	irst petition: Yes No econd petition: Yes No
(d) If	you did not appeal from the action on any motion, petition, or application, explain briefly w
	sed upon the fore grounds that werent available until no
12.	For this motion, state every ground on which you claim that you are being held in violatic Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. Attach additional pages if you have r four grounds. State the <u>facts</u> supporting each ground.
GRO	JND ONE: <u>Equitable Tolli</u> ng

410c	6

^{© 2016} Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

GROUND ONE: Tolling

The submission of Motion 2255 will set forth specific fundamental defects of procedure (s). The limitation period can be equitably tolled, **Tolliver**, **211 F.3d. at 878.** The recently enacted discretionary laws that allow for such relief are the reason and basis for default and reasons not raised for the first time. As a final measure of relevancy such motion will:

- 1. Provide merit for the underlying claim.
- 2. Will present procedural issues and the matters we seek to raise as part of such.

GROUND TWO: Challenge

A defendant can challenge the existence of a factual basis for her guilty plea; the court of appeals then reviews the challenge for plain error when the defendant fails to raise the argument defere the court for the first time. U.S. v Christenson, 653 F.3d. 697 (8th Cir. 2011).

GROUND THREE: Fundamental Defects

The purpose and goal and means of submitting Motion 2255, is to redress fundamental defects that inherently denied the defendant of her rights to a fair hearing and Wer way through the judicial and prosecutorial process. As a result of these defects, the defendants conviction was by evidence and conclusion a complete miscarriage of justice. U.S. v Addonizio, 442 US 178,185 (1979).

GROUND FOUR: Irrelevant Factors

According to US v Frage, 704 F.3d. 432,440 (5th Cir.2013), a district court errs when in fact it gives significant weight to

n	A	GE	TWO	
*	м	LTP.	IWLI	=

factors of irrelevancy or of improper factors. Consideration or even reference to these acts can often influence and subsequently alter the plan of action and / or outcome of the defendants sentence. This is by far and acknowledged as such an unusual sentence practice that perhaps warrants closer scrutiny and a remand for resentencing under and only referencing and relying upon the proper factor.

GROUND FIVE: Equal Protection Clause

a defendant who undergoes prosecution is subject to scrutiny However, the defendant is also guarenteed certain rights under such. In this case, the defendants sentence violated this clause, as it reflected disparate treatment detween the defendant and other individuals and lacked any rational basis. Pierce, 409 F.3d. at 234.

GROUND SIX: The grounds by which a defendant can seek relief of their conviction and / or sentence is based upon challenging issues of constitutional or jurisdictional magnitude. As such, the defendant is presenting these facts and layling the gounds by which to seek relief accordingly. U.S. v Willis, 273 F.3d. 592,595 (5th Cir. 2001).

GROUND SEVEN: Different Mannerism

Due to the circumstances, events and evidence presented, any reasonable juror could debate that such case should of been resolved in a different manner. Slack v Mc Daniel, 529 US 473, 484 (2000).

GROUND EIGHT: Substantial Guidelines

The defendants sentence as well as the guidelines by which the sentence itself was governed by was based upon erroneous factors and as a result produced substantial guidelines rather than proced-

P	A	G	E	I	'HR	EE	0
---	---	---	---	---	-----	----	---

and therefor altered the range and / or class of persons that the law punishes. Schrivo, 542 US at 353.

GROUND NINE: USSG § 1B1.8

when looking at evaluating as well as applying the amounts of substance, the court misapplied the sentencing guidelines according to USSG §1B1.8 by including a larger quanity in computing her base level as aprt of the conspiracy, but as such she should only be accountable for her individual amount during the time frame which she partook in the conspiracy; not the "intended" or "presumed" amount and thus even though the quanity was NOT reliably known to the government before. US v Shacklett, 921 F.2d. 580 (5th Cir.)

GROUND TEN: 6th Amendment Am

The U.S. Constitution guarentees the defendant many rights including the 6th Amendment. The defendant was denied her right under the constitution as the amount of time the defendant received has 6th amendment significance. Glover v U.S., 531 US 198,203.

GROUND ELEVEN: Ineffective Counsel

Strickland v Washington, 466 US 668,688,694 (1984) is a monumental case in which sets forth precedent for defendant to seek relief and be entitled to effective counsel. While at the same time, it also holds theattorney responsible for their actions and behaviors in properly representing their clients. In this particular case, the sentencing attorney didn't use reasonable probability and such needs to be sufficient in order to undermine the confidence of the outcome.

GROUND TWELVE: Performed Competence

P	AG	E	F	0	U	R	8.9
---	----	---	---	---	---	---	-----

Counsel as a result of their actions performed competently In some respects but not in others which therefore deprived the defendant of effective counsel and assistance. Thomas v Kulhman, 255 F.Supp 2d. 99, 107 (EDNY 2003).

GROUND THIRTEEN: Effective Counsel

As with any defendant, they are entitled to effective counsel duringplea negotiations and in this case, counsel was NOT effective as he had no variable or viable interest nor concern for the defendant in this matter. Missouri v Frye, 132 S.Ct. 1399 (2012).

GROUND FOURTEEN: Within Range

In Hill v Lockhart, 474 US 52,57, (1985), the counsels advice wasn't within the range of competence demanded of an attorney in criminal cases.

GROUND FIFTEEN: Fatico Hearing

The defendant is entitled to adn has the option to request a Fatico Hearing that would of allowed the defendant to present and have evidence submitted and heard that possibly could of altered and or changed the outcome of both her plea and ultimately her conviction.

U.S. v Fatico, 603 F.3d. 1053 (2d.Cir. 1979).

GROUND SIXTEEN: 8th Amendment

The constitutional right to the 8th Amendment is procedural for any cases being prosecuted and heard before the court even though the ultimate source is substantive. Beard v Banks, 542 US 406, 408.

Case 4:13-cr-00100-A Document 379 Filed 09/29/16 Page 11 of 33 PageID 1641

P	A	C	E	F	T	V	E	

GROUNDDSEVENTEEN: DeNOVO Review

There are circumstance that justify a defendants sentence departure DeNOVO review due to missing elements and in such, certain elements are essential for conviction. The reasonableness standard review applies to ALL cases imposed after Booker. Accordingly, under newly discretionary sentence(s), cases are reviewed for reasonableness on erroneous facts or failure to adequately explain chosen sentence. As stated, "we review a district courts interpretation or application of the guidelines DeNOVO review and it's factual findings for clear error. U.S. v Conner, 537 F.3d. 480, 489 (5th Cir. 2008).

GROUND EIGHTEEN: Conspiracy

"conspiracy is an agrement between 2 or more people to "conspire, plan or committ criminal conduct and to agree upon that". thus the conspiracy can NOT be based upon an individual decision without agreeing upon it with others. Conclusively, each member of a conspiracy is legally responsible for the crimes of their co-conspirators "until the conspiracy accomplishes it's goal or that the conspirator withdraws; " as such and concluding that an "individual" acted upon their own will and decision making, without any other person(s) then therefore a conspiracy never existed. U.S. v Randall, 661 F.3d. 1291, 1294 (10th Cir.2011).

GROUND NINETEEN: USSG § 3B1.2

The defendant in this case was merely being paid to perform a certain task and therefore qualified as a minor participant under USSG § 3B1.2. The defendant had no proprietary Unterest in such, as a result she meets the five (5) criteria to consider her based upon the following:

GROUND NINETEEN: Continued

		1. The de	egree to w	hich the de	efendant un	derstood
the scope ar	nd structu	re of cr	iminal act	ivity. The	defendant	had NO
knowledge o	of the sco	pe or st	cucture as	she was or	nly aware o	f her own
individual '	"job" or "	task" in	such cond	uct and whi	ich she was	being
paid to do.			mana mana mana mana mana kao mana ao m		·	

- 2. The degree to which the defendant participated in planning or organizing of criminal activity. The defendant had NO planning, organizing or leadership role of the activity, she simply "followed" orders.
- 3. The defendant neither exercised no decision-making authority nor did she influence such.
- 4. The nature the defendant partook in the act of conduct, were on an individual basis and was her own individual responsibility that she is accountable for.
- 5. The defendant only stood to benefit from her individual acts and nothing more.

As grounds for such consideration and reasoning, the defendant was clearly a minor role participant and should be afforded the review to qualify her under U.S. v Quintero-Leyva, 2016 WL 2865713 (9th Cir. 2016).

GROUND TWENTY: Substantive Reasonableness

[&]quot;Substantive" reasonableness of sentence is reviewed under an abuse of discretion, U.S. v Delgado- Martinez, supra 564 F.3d. at 754.

Case 4:13-cr-00100-A	Document 379	Filed 09/29/16	Page 13 of 33	PageID 1643
PAGE SEVEN:				
relitive litter illes vitting skinn ombre ill ble plir produce illime illes vittine illes				

GROUND TWENTY-ONE: Questions Raised

There are various questions raised throughout these motion set forth, that does raise questions, cites and points out several rights that were denied the defendant alongs with procedural errors that occured. Barefoot v Estelle, 463 US 880 (1983); Lennox v Evans, No. 96-6041, (10th Cir. June 2, 1996.

GROUND TWENTY - TWO: Review of Sentence

the court of appeals must review all sentences whether inside, just outside or significantly outside the guideline range under a deferential abuse - of - discretion. Gall v U.S., supra 552 US at 41, 128 S.Ct. at 591.

GROUND TWENTY - TWO: Egriegous Error

In Fair v U.S., 157 F.3d. 427, 430 (6th Cir.1998), based upon the foregoing fundamental defects in proceedings which ultimately resulted in a complete miscarriage of justice adn / or egriegous error violative of due process as was the case in this instance.

GROUND TWENTY- THREE: 2255 Ruling

A hearing must be warranted if the motion set forth sets forth specific facts supported by competent evidence raising detailed and controverted issues of fact that if proved at a hearing would entitle defendant to relief. U.S. v Aiello, 814 F.2d 109, 113-114 (2d.Cir.1987).

Case 4:13-cr-00100-A Document 379 Filed 09/29/16 Page 14 of 33 PageID 1644

PAGE EIGHT:	
GROUND TWENTY (=1) FOUR:	2255 Hearing

According to Gonzalez v U.S., supra, 722 F.3d. 118,130 F.2d. Cir. 2013), quoting 28 U.S.C. sub 2255,

In ruling on a motion under sub 2255, the district court is required to hold a hearing unless the motion and the files and the records of the case conclusively show that defendant is entitled to no relief.

GROUND TWENTY-FIVE: Pro Se

In haines v Kerner, 404 US 519,520, 82 S.Ct.594, 30 L.Ed. 2d 652 (1972), that "pro se" pleadings are entitled to more liberal construction and that such pleadings should be given the benefit of those doubts raised in such proceedings. Appellant realizes that she is untrained in the numerous facets of the law and would request that she not be held to the same rigid standards of those required with formal training of the laws and of attorneys.

	t argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):
•	
	•
	-
	-
	-
	-
b) Direct Appeal of Grou	nd One:
1) If you annealed from the	e judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?
i) ii you appealed itoili the	e juagment of conviction, and you raise this issue:

© 2016 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the

restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

Yes No X
(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why: <u>Just recently was</u> enacted.
(c) Post-Conviction Proceedings:
(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?
Yes No X
(2) If your answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state:
Type of motion or petition: N/A
Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:N/A
Docket or case number (if you know): N/A
Date of the court's decision: N/A
410cr 8

^{© 2016} Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

Resu	It (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):N/A
(3) D	id you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application?
Yes	No X
(4) D	id you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application?
	Not Applicable
Yes	No
(5) If	your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?
	Not Applicable
Yes	No
(6) If	your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," state:
Nam	e and location of the court where the appeal was filed:N/A
Dock	et or case number (if you know): N/A
Date	of the court's decision: N/A
410c	er 9

Case 4:13-cr-00100-A Document 379 Filed 09/29/16 Page 17 of 33 PageID 1647

^{© 2016} Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

Case 4:13-cr-00100-A Document 379 Filed 09/29/16 Page 18 of 33 PageID 1648

Re	sult (attach a copy of the	court's opinion or order, if available): N/A
(7) thi	If your answer to Question	on (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal or ra <u>such</u> just became available after the defend
	onviction became	
-		~ - 1 2 ·

GF	ROUND TWO:Cha	llenge
(a)	Supporting facts (Do not	t argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.)
	See Attached	

_		
	_	
41	0cr	10

^{© 2016} Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

	-
	_
	-
	-
	-
	_
(b) Direct Appeal of Grou	nd Two:
(1) If you appealed from th	e judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?
Yes No X	
Yes No X	
	issue in your direct appeal, explain why: <u>Such ground for s</u> uch j
(2) If you did not raise this	
(2) If you did not raise this	
(2) If you did not raise this	
(2) If you did not raise this	
(2) If you did not raise this	• -
(2) If you did not raise this	• -
(2) If you did not raise this	-
(2) If you did not raise this	_

Case 4:13-cr-00100-A Document 379 Filed 09/29/16 Page 19 of 33 PageID 1649

© 2016 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

Case 4:13-cr-00100-A Document 379 Filed 09/29/16 Page 20 of 33 PageID 1650 (1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application? Yes No X (2) If your answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state: Type of motion or petition: N/A Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed: ____ N/A Docket or case number (if you know): N/A Date of the court's decision: _____N7A Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available): N/A

(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application?

Yes No X

(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application?

410cr

12

^{© 2016} Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

Yes No X
(5) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal?
Not Applicable Yes No
(6) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," state:
Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed: N/A
Docket or case number (if you know):N/A
Date of the court's decision: N/A
Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available): <u>N/A</u>
(7) If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal or raise this issue:Grounds for relief just became precedent.
410cr 13

^{© 2016} Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

		_				
		_				
		_				
GROUND TI	IREE: Fui	ndamental	Defects			
			PRINCE MANAGEMENT AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PRINCE ASSESSMENT ASSESSME			
 		-				
	.			a enacitic facte t	hat cuanant va	ur claim.'
(a) Supportir	g facts (Do no ached	ot argue or cite l -	aw. Just state the	s apoomo raota t	inat support yo	
(a) Supportir See At I	ached	ot argue or cite l	aw. Just state the	у эреспи таска (mat support yo	
(a) Supportir	g facts (Do no ached	t argue or cite l	aw. Just state the	s specific racts (inat support yo	
(a) Supportir See Att	ached	t argue or cite l	aw. Just state the	, specific racts (inat support yo	
(a) Supportir See Att	ached	t argue or cite l	aw. Just state the	, specific racts (inat support yo	
(a) Supportir See Att	ached	t argue or cite l	aw. Just state the	, specific racts (iriat support yo	
(a) Supportir	ached	t argue or cite l	aw. Just state the	, specific facts (iriat support yo	
(a) Supporting See At 1	ached	t argue or cite l	aw. Just state the	, specific facts (inat support yo	
(a) Supporting See At 1	ached	t argue or cite l	aw. Just state the	, specific facts (iriat support yo	
(a) Supporting See At 1	ached	t argue or cite l	aw. Just state the	, specific facts (iriat support yo	
(a) Supporting See At 1	ached	t argue or cite l	aw. Just state the	, specific facts (inat support yo	

Case 4:13-cr-00100-A Document 379 Filed 09/29/16 Page 22 of 33 PageID 1652

(b) Direct Appeal of Ground Three:	
(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?	
Yes No X	
(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why: Laws were just recently acted.	en-
(c) Post-Conviction Proceedings:	
(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?	
Yes No $_{ m X}$	
(2) If your answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state:	
Type of motion or petition: N/A	
410cr 15	
	(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue? Yes No X (2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why: Laws were just recently acted. (c) Post-Conviction Proceedings: (1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application? Yes No X (2) If your answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state: Type of motion or petition:N/A

Case 4:13-cr-00100-A Document 379 Filed 09/29/16 Page 23 of 33 PageID 1653

^{© 2016} Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

Case 4:13-cr-00100-A Document 379 Filed 09/29/16 Page 24 of 33 PageID 1654

Name and location of the court w	vhere the motion or petition was file	ed: <u>N/A</u>
Docket or case number (if you kn	now):N/A	
Date of the court's decision:	N/A	
Result (attach a copy of the court	t's opinion or order, if available): _	N/A
(3) Did you receive a hearing on	your motion, petition, or application	on?
Yes No X		
(4) Did you appeal from the denia	ial of your motion, petition, or appli	cation?
Yes No X		
(5) If your answer to Question (c)	e)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issu	e in the appeal?
Not Applicabl Yes No	le	
(6) If your answer to Question (c)	(4) is "Yes," state:	
410cr	16	

^{© 2016} Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

Name and location of the co	urt where the appeal was filed:N	<u>/A</u>

Docket or case number (if yo	ou know): <u>N/A</u>	
Date of the court's decision:	N/A	
Result (attach a copy of the	court's opinion or order, if available):	N/A
(7) If your answer to Question this issue: <u>Laws were</u> j	n (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," exp just_recentlypassed and	plain why you did not appeal or enacted.
(7) If your answer to Question this issue: <u>Laws were</u> j	n (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," exp just_recentlypassed and	plain why you did not appeal or enacted.
(7) If your answer to Question this issue: <u>Laws were</u>	n (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," exp just_recent1ypassed and	plain why you did not appeal or enacted.
(7) If your answer to Question this issue: <u>Laws were</u>	n (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," exp just_recentlyPassed and	plain why you did not appeal or enacted.
(7) If your answer to Question this issue: <u>Laws were</u>	n (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," exp just_recentlypassed and	plain why you did not appeal or enacted.
(7) If your answer to Questio this issue: <u>Laws were</u> j	n (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," exp just_recentlypassed and	plain why you did not appeal or enacted.
(7) If your answer to Question this issue: Laws were j	just recentlypassed and	plain why you did not appeal or enacted.

© 2016 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

		
		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Case 4:13-cr-00100-A Document 379 Filed 09/29/16 Page 26 of 33 PageID 1656

the major may see as the

^{© 2016} Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

Yes NoX	
(2) If you did not raise this able.	ssue in your direct appeal, explain why: <u>Such precedent wasn't avail</u>
(c) Post-Conviction Proc	edings:
(1) Did you raise this issue	n any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?
Yes No X	
(2) If your answer to Quest	on (c)(1) is "Yes," state:
Type of motion or petition:	N/A
Name and location of the	ourt where the motion or petition was filed: N/A
Docket or case number (if	ou know): <u>N/A</u>
Date of the court's decision	N/A
410cr	19

^{© 2016} Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

Case 4:13-cr-00100-A Document 379 Filed 09/29/16 Page 28 of 33 PageID 1658 N/A Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available): ___ (3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application? Yes No X (4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application? Not Applicable Yes No (5) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise this issue in the appeal? Yes No X (6) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," state: N/A Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed: Docket or case number (if you know) N/A N/ADate of the court's decision:

© 2016 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

20

410cr

where α is a second constant α

	(attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):N/A
(7) If y this iss	our answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal or raisue: <u>Such grounds in</u> such motion just li ecame available afte
the	defendants sentence became final.
<u></u>	
13.	Is there any ground in this motion that you have <u>not</u> previously presented in some federal co so, which ground or grounds have not been presented, and state your reasons for not prese
13.	Is there any ground in this motion that you have <u>not</u> previously presented in some federal co so, which ground or grounds have not been presented, and state your reasons for not prese them: <u>No, just the for</u> ementioned.
13.	so, which ground or grounds have not been presented, and state your reasons for not prese
13.	so, which ground or grounds have not been presented, and state your reasons for not prese
13.	so, which ground or grounds have not been presented, and state your reasons for not prese
13.	so, which ground or grounds have not been presented, and state your reasons for not prese
13.	so, which ground or grounds have not been presented, and state your reasons for not prese them: No, just the forementioned. Do you have any motion, petition, or appeal now pending (filed and not decided yet) in any of or the judgment you are challenging? Yes No X If "Yes," state the name and location of the court, the docket or case number, the type of
	bo you have any motion, petition, or appeal now pending (filed and not decided yet) in any of or the judgment you are challenging? Yes No X If "Yes," state the name and location of the court, the docket or case number, the type of proceeding, and the issues raised

© 2016 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

15.		
15.	Cive the many and address if Ironius of anoth attenues, who re	
15.	Cive the many and address if Irrania of and attempts who re	
	Give the name and address, if known, of each attorney who re stages of the judgment you are challenging: (a) At preliminary hearing: Derrick Brown	
sacrerer committe error combrerer commente e commente e bron	(b) At arraignment and plea:	
	(c) At trial:	
	(d) At sentencing: *	* Same for all proceedi
	(e) On appeal:	
	(f) In any post-conviction proceeding:	
	(g) On appeal from any ruling against you in a post-convictio	
		, ,
16.	Were you sentenced on more than one count of an indictment, the same court and at the same time? Yes $\ \ No\ \ X$, or on more than one indictment, in
17.	Do you have any future sentence to serve after you complete to you are challenging? Yes NoX	
	(a) If so, give name and location of court that imposed the ot future:	her sentence you will serve in the
	(b) Give the date the other sentence was imposed:	
	(c) Give the length of the other sentence: (d) Have you filed, or do you plan to file, any motion, petition judgment or sentence to be served in the future? Yes No	n, or application that challenges the
18.	TIMELINESS OF MOTION: If your judgment of conviction bec	ame final over one year ago, you
410cr	22	

Case 4:13-cr-00100-A Document 379 Filed 09/29/16 Page 30 of 33 PageID 1660

^{© 2016} Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

	ust explain why the one-year statute of limitations as contained in 28 U.S.C. § 2255 dor r your motion. *	es not
	he recently enacted USSG § 3B1.2 just set grounds for	relief
	fter the normal one- year time constraints. Both "caus	e for
	fter the normal one- year time constraints. Both "caus rocedural default and actual prejudice" result from e	rrors
	nd actual process and recently enacted laws. Shaid, 9	
	t 232.	
Therefor	movant asks that the Court grant the following relief: For review, re-evalu	ation
and r	- consideration of all the facts prsented and the err	ors that
	d according to procedurs and rights guarenteed to the	
there	$\overline{ ext{ore consideration}}$ for $\overline{ ext{vacate}}$ and $\overline{ ext{and}}$ or $\overline{ ext{reduction}}$ i	n the
defen	ants sentence.	
or any of	er relief to which movant may be entitled.	
-	·	
	Signature of Attorned	y (if any)
410		
410cr	23	

 $\label{eq:controller} A = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \right)$

^{© 2016} Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of the LexisNexis Group. All rights reserved. Use of this product is subject to the restrictions and terms and conditions of the Matthew Bender Master Agreement.

	I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and con and that this Motion Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 was placed in the prison mailing system September 2010 (month, date, year). Executed (signed) on 9-210-2010 (date).				
			Sudnight Law (Signature of Movant		
		rant, state relationship to mo	ovant and explain why movant is not		
410cr		24			



Federal Medical Center, Carswell Ft. Worth, TX 76127 SYDNEY NAVARRO #46030-177 P.O. Box 27137

UNITED STATES
POSTAL SERVICE. For Domestic Use Only

Label 107R, July 2013

Federal District Court Ft.Worth Division 501 W 10TH ST